

**COURT OF APPEALS
DECISION
DATED AND RELEASED**

July 5, 1995

A party may file with the Supreme Court a petition to review an adverse decision by the Court of Appeals. See § 808.10 and RULE 809.62, STATS.

NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing. If published, the official version will appear in the bound volume of the Official Reports.

No. 95-1238-CR-NM

STATE OF WISCONSIN

**IN COURT OF APPEALS
DISTRICT II**

STATE OF WISCONSIN,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

LYNDON B. HOOD,

Defendant-Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the circuit court for Racine County:
DENNIS FLYNN, Judge. *Affirmed.*

Before Anderson, P.J., Brown and Nettesheim, JJ.

PER CURIAM. Lyndon B. Hood appeals from a judgment convicting him of intentionally causing bodily harm to a child. Hood's appellate counsel filed a no merit report pursuant to RULE 809.32, STATS., and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Hood received the report and was advised of his right to file a response, but did not do so. After considering the report and after conducting an independent review of the record, we conclude that there is no arguable merit to any issue that could be raised on appeal.

The no merit report addresses whether Hood's guilty plea was knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily entered and whether the trial court misused its discretion in sentencing Hood to a three and one-half year term of imprisonment, to be served concurrently with a sentence Hood was already serving. We agree with the no merit report's analysis of these issues and the report's conclusion that there is no arguable merit to them. Our independent review of the record reveals no other potential issues. Therefore, we affirm the judgment of conviction and relieve Attorney Paul G. Bonneson of further representing Hood in this matter.

By the Court. – Judgment affirmed.